

Math 70100: Functions of a Real Variable I  
Homework 7, due Wednesday, October 22.

1. Assume that  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a sequence of differentiable functions whose derivatives are uniformly bounded. Suppose there is an  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  so that  $\{f_n(x_0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded. Prove that  $\{f_n\}$  has a subsequence which converges uniformly to a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of differentiable functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and assume there is an  $M > 0$  so that  $|f'_n(x)| < M$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and that  $|f_n(x_0)| < M$  for some  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ .

By Arzelá-Ascoli, it suffices to prove that  $\{f_n\}$  is pointwise totally bounded and equicontinuous.

First we claim that  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We claim that  $|x - y| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$  implies  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \epsilon$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which will verify the definition of equicontinuity. Suppose not. Then there is an  $n$  and an  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  so that  $|x - y| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$  but  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \geq \epsilon$ . By the mean value theorem, there is a  $z$  between  $x$  and  $y$  so that

$$|f'_n(z)| = \frac{|f_n(x) - f_n(y)|}{|x - y|} > \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon/M} = M.$$

But this contradicts our uniform bound on the derivative. Thus, we have shown that  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous.

Now we claim that  $\{f_n\}$  is pointwise totally bounded. Recall that in  $\mathbb{R}$ , totally bounded is the same as bounded. We claim that for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $|f_n(x)| < 2M$ , which will verify by definition that  $\{f_n\}$  is pointwise bounded. Suppose to the contrary that  $|f_n(x)| \geq 2M$ . Recall that  $|f_n(x_0)| < M$ . Therefore,

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| > M.$$

But, then because  $x, x_0 \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $|x - x_0| \leq 1$ . Again by the mean value theorem, there is a  $y$  between  $x$  and  $x_0$  so that

$$|f'_n(z)| = \frac{|f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)|}{|x - x_0|} > \frac{M}{1}.$$

Again, this contradicts our uniform bound on the derivative.

2. (Royden-Fitzpatrick §10.1 # 5) A function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be Hölder continuous of order  $\alpha$  provided there is a constant  $C$  for which

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha \quad \text{for all } x, y \in [0, 1].$$

Define the Hölder norm

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \max \left\{ |f(x)| + \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} : x, y \in [0, 1] \text{ and } x \neq y \right\}.$$

Show that for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the set of functions for which  $\|f\|_\alpha \leq 1$  has compact closure as a subset of subset of the space of continuous real-valued functions on  $[0, 1]$  with the uniform norm.

**Solution:** Fix some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . By the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, it suffices to show that the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\|f\|_\alpha \leq 1$  is pointwise totally bounded and equicontinuous.

Since the functions  $\mathcal{F}$  map to  $\mathbb{R}$ , it suffices to show the functions are pointwise bounded. We claim that  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$  and each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Observe that by definition of the Hölder norm, for each  $x \in [0, 1]$  and each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_\alpha \leq 1,$$

so 1 serves as a uniform pointwise bound.

We claim that  $\mathcal{F}$  is equicontinuous. Fix an  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ . We claim that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each  $y$  with  $|x - y| < \epsilon$  and each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon^\alpha.$$

Fix such an  $\epsilon$ ,  $y$  and  $f$ . If  $x = y$ , then it is trivially true. Otherwise, observe by definition of the Hölder norm, we have

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq 1.$$

Thus,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|^\alpha < \epsilon^\alpha,$$

as claimed.

3. (*Lang §III.4 #21*) Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $E$  be a normed vector space. Let  $BC(X, E)$  be the space of bounded continuous maps  $X \rightarrow E$  (with the uniform norm). Let  $\Phi$  be a bounded subset of  $BC(X, E)$ . For  $x \in X$ , let  $\text{ev}_x : \Phi \rightarrow E$  be the function  $\text{ev}_x(\phi) = \phi(x)$ . Show that  $\text{ev}_x$  is continuous and bounded. Show that  $\Phi$  is equicontinuous at a point  $a \in X$  if and only if the map  $x \mapsto \text{ev}_x$  of  $X$  into  $BC(\Phi, E)$  is continuous at  $a$ .

**Solution:** Fix  $\Phi$  to be a bounded subset of  $BC(X, E)$  as stated. We let  $\|\cdot\|$  be the uniform norms on both  $BC(X, E)$  and  $BC(\Phi, E)$ , and let  $|\cdot|$  be the norm on  $E$ . The statement that  $\Phi$  is bounded then gives an  $M > 0$  so that  $|\phi(x)| < M$  for each  $\phi \in \Phi$  and each  $x \in X$ .

Consider the map  $\text{ev}_x : \Phi \rightarrow E$  as described in the problem. We claim this map is continuous and bounded. It is clearly bounded since  $|\phi(x)| < M$  for each  $\phi \in \Phi$ . This is a map between metric spaces, so we will use the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  definition of continuity to show  $\text{ev}_x$  is continuous at each point  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Fix some  $\epsilon > 0$ . We claim that for each  $\psi \in \Phi$ , we have  $\|\phi - \psi\| < \epsilon$  implies  $|\text{ev}_x(\phi) - \text{ev}_x(\psi)| < \epsilon$ . Indeed, suppose  $\|\phi - \psi\| < \epsilon$ . Then by definition of the uniform

norm,  $|\phi(x) - \psi(x)| < \epsilon$ . But this is the same as the statement that  $|\text{ev}_x(\phi) - \text{ev}_x(\psi)| < \epsilon$  by definition of  $\text{ev}_x$ .

Now we will show that  $\text{ev}_x$  is continuous and bounded. Show that  $\Phi$  is equicontinuous at a point  $a \in X$  if and only if the map  $x \mapsto \text{ev}_x$  of  $X$  into  $BC(\Phi, E)$  is continuous at  $a$ . Fix  $a \in X$ . Observe that the following statements are all equivalent. (Justifications for each step are given in parenthesis.)

- The map  $x \mapsto \text{ev}_x$  of  $X$  into  $BC(\Phi, E)$  is continuous at  $a$ .
- For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $d(x, a) < \delta$  implies  $\|\text{ev}_x - \text{ev}_a\| < \epsilon$ . (*Metric definition of continuity.*)
- For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $d(x, a) < \delta$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  implies  $|\text{ev}_x(\phi) - \text{ev}_a(\phi)| < \epsilon$ . (*Definition of uniform norm.*)
- For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $d(x, a) < \delta$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  implies  $|\phi(x) - \phi(a)| < \epsilon$ . (*Definition of  $\text{ev}_*$ .*)
- $\Phi$  is equicontinuous at point  $a$ . (*Definition of equicontinuity.*)

4. (*Rudin's Principles of real analysis, Chapter 7 # 20*) Prove that if  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and if

$$\int_0^1 f(x)x^n dx = 0$$

for all integers  $n \geq 0$ , then  $f$  is identically zero on  $[0, 1]$ . (*Hint: This is a standard application of the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem or even just Weierstrass's theorem.*)

**Solution:** Suppose to the contrary that  $f$  is not identically zero but that  $\int_0^1 f(x)x^n dx = 0$  for every integer  $n \geq 0$ . Let

$$I = \int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx,$$

which is positive since  $f$  is not identically zero. Choose  $M > 0$  so that  $|f(x)| < M$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , which exists by compactness of  $[0, 1]$ . Recall by Weierstrass's theorem that polynomials are uniformly dense in  $C([0, 1])$ , the space of continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus, there is a polynomial  $p(x)$  so that

$$|f(x) - p(x)| < \frac{I}{2M} \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

Then we see that

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x)(f(x) - p(x)) dx \right| \leq \int_0^1 |f(x)||f(x) - p(x)| dx \leq \int_0^1 M \frac{I}{2M} dx = \frac{I}{2}. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, by linearity of the integral, we see

$$\int_0^1 f(x)p(x) dx = 0.$$

So, we compute

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x)(f(x) - p(x)) dx \right| = \left| \int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx - \int_0^1 f(x)p(x) dx \right| = |I - 0| = I.$$

But since  $I \neq 0$ , this contradicts equation (1).

5. (Kriz and Pultr §9.7 # 8) Prove that any open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.

**Solution:** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  denote the open ball of radius  $\epsilon$  centered at  $\mathbf{x}$ . For each integer  $m \geq 1$ , define the subset

$$K_m = \{\mathbf{x} \in U : |\mathbf{x}| \leq m \text{ and } B_{1/m}(\mathbf{x}) \subset U\}.$$

We claim  $K_m$  is compact. Since  $K_m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , it suffices to prove that  $K_m$  is a closed and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Clearly  $K_m$  is a bounded set, since  $|\mathbf{x}| \leq m$ . We claim it is also closed. Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_k \in K_m$  converges to  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We claim  $\mathbf{x} \in K_m$ . Observe  $|\mathbf{x}_k| \leq m$  for each  $k$ , and by continuity of  $|\cdot|$ , we have

$$|\mathbf{x}| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbf{x}_k| \leq m.$$

It remains to show  $B_{1/m}(\mathbf{x}) \subset U$ . Choose a  $\mathbf{y} \in B_{1/m}(\mathbf{x})$ . Again by continuity of  $|\cdot|$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{m} > |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{y}|.$$

So, we can find a  $k$  so that  $|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{y}| < \frac{1}{m}$ . For this  $k$ ,

$$\mathbf{y} \in B_{1/m}(\mathbf{x}_k) \subset U.$$

Since  $\mathbf{y} \in B_{1/m}(\mathbf{x})$  was arbitrary, this shows  $B_{1/m}(\mathbf{x}) \subset U$ . Thus  $\mathbf{x} \in K_m$ .

We have shown each  $K_m$  is compact. So, to show  $U$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, it suffices to show that  $U = \bigcup_m K_m$ . By definition  $K_m \subset U$ , so the union is also a subset of  $U$ . To see the opposite inclusion, choose an  $\mathbf{x} \in U$ . Since  $U$  is open, there is an  $\epsilon$  so that  $B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \subset U$ . Choose an integer  $M$  so that

$$M > \max \left( |\mathbf{x}|, \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right).$$

Then,  $|\mathbf{x}| < M$  and  $B_{1/M}(\mathbf{x}) \subset B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \subset U$ . So by definition,  $\mathbf{x} \in K_M$ .